Attorney's Docket No.: 50277-1735 (OID# 2001-037-01) Patent

DECLARATION FOR PATENT APPLICATION

As a below named inventor(s), I hereby declare that:

My residence, mailing address and citizenship are as stated below, next to my name.

I believe I am the original, first, and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first, and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled

"DISTRIBUTED SERVICE AGGREGATION AND COMPOSITION"

he specification of which					
	attached hereto. as filed on			as	
U	nited States Applica	ation Number		,	
		Application Number		1	
a	nd was amended or	J		······································	
		(if applicable)			
		stand the contents of the above-i mendment referred to above.	dentified s	specification,	
acknowledge the duty to itle 37, Code of Federal		tion known to me to be material t n 1.56 (copy attached).	to patenta	bility as define	
reign application(s) for p	eatent or inventor's	Fitle 35, United States Code, Sec certificate listed below and have a tificate having a filing date before	also identi	ified below an	
Prior Foreign Application(s)			<u>Claimed</u>		
(Number)	(Country)	(Day/Month/Year Filed)	Yes	No	
(Number)	(Country)	(Day/Month/Year Filed)	Yes	No	
(Number)	(Country)	(Day/Month/Year Filed)	Yes	No	
hereby claim the benefit provisional application(s)		red States Code, Section 119(e)	of any Uni	ited States	
(Application Number)	(Filing Date)				
(Application Number)		(Filing Date)			

(Application Number)	(Filing Date)	(Status - patented, pending, abandoned)		
(Application Number)	(Filing Date)	(Status - patented, pending, abandoned)		
(Application Number)	(Filing Date)	(Status - patented, pending, abandoned)		
on information and belief are belieknowledge that willful false stater	eved to be true; and furth nents and the like so ma the United States Code :	wn knowledge are true and that all statements made ner that these statements were made with the de are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, and that such willful false statements may led thereon.		
Full Name of Sole/First Inventor	(given name, family name)	MARCO CARRER		
Inventor's Signature	(one.	Date 7/10/2001		
Residence <u>Wakefield, MA</u> (City, State)		Citizenship ITALY (Country)		
Mailing Address10 Layton Av	<u>renue, Wakefield, MA 01</u>	880		
Full Name of Second Invertor (g Inventor's Signature Residence Chelmsford, MA (City, State) Mailing Address 18 Eldorado		Citizenship INDIA (Country)		
Full Name of Third Inventor (give Inventor's Signature Residence Nashua, NH (City, State) Mailing Address 26 Royal Creen	and they I	DateCitizenship <u>CANADA</u> (Country)		

Full Name of Fourth Inventor (given name, family name) <u>WAI-KWONG LEE</u>						
Inventor's Signature	Date	7/10/2001				
Residence Nashua, NH (City, State)		CitizenshipCHINA (Country)				
Mailing Address 36 Royal Crest Drive, #6 Nashua, NH 03062						
Full Name of Fifth Inventor (given name, family name) CHENG	HAN					
Inventor's Signature	Date _	07/10/200/				
Residence Lexington, MA		Citizenship <u>CHINA</u> (Country)				
(City, State) (Country) Mailing Address 100 Emerson Garden, Lexington, MA 02420						
Full Name of Sixth Inventor (given name, family name) KAN I	DENG					
Inventor's Signature	_ Date _	7/10/2001				
Residence Nashua, NH		CitizenshipCHINA				
(City, State)		(Country)				
Mailing Address Silver Drive #24, Nashua, NH 03060						

Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 1.56 Duty to Disclose Information Material to Patentability

- (a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is canceled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is canceled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by ss 1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:
 - (1) Prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
- (2) The closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.
- (b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made of record in the application, and
- (1) It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim; or
 - (2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:
 - (i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
 - (ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.

A prima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.

- (c) Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:
 - (1) Each inventor named in the application;
 - (2) Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and
- (3) Every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application.
- (d) Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent, or inventor.

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of:

Group Art Unit No.: NYA

MARCO CARRER, et al.

Examiner: NYA

Serial No.: NYA

Filed on:

For:

DISTRIBUTED SERVICE AGGREGATION AND

COMPOSITION

POWER OF ATTORNEY AND REVOCATION OF PREVIOUS POWERS

Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

Oracle Corporation, a Delaware corporation having a place of business at 500 Oracle Parkway, M/S 5OP7, Redwood Shores, California 94065, certifies that to the best of its knowledge and belief it is the assignce or is entitled to ownership of the entire right, title, and interest in and to the above-referenced patent application by virtue of an Assignment filed concurrently herewith and represents that the undersigned is a representative authorized and empowered to sign on behalf of Oracle Corporation, which hereby revokes all powers of attorney previously given and appoints the following attorney(s) and/or agent(s): Edward A. Becker, Reg. No. 37,777; Marcel K. Bingham, Reg. No. 42,327; Carl L. Brandt, Reg. No. 44,555; Brian D. Hickman, Reg. No. 35,894; Craig G. Holmes, Reg. No. 44,770; Christopher J. Palermo, Reg. No. 42,056; Carina M. Tan, Reg. No. 45,769; Bobby K. Truong, Reg. No. 37,499, Van Mahamedi, Reg. No. 42,828 and John D. Henkhaus, Reg. No. 42,656, all of

HICKMAN PALERMO TRUONG & BECKER LLP 1600 Willow Street San Jose, California 95125-5106

and

Sanjay Prasad, Reg. No. 36,247; and Roger Kennedy, Reg. No. 44,823, of ORACLE CORPORATION

with full power of substitution and revocation, to prosecute this application and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith. Send all future correspondence to the attention of John D. Henkhaus, Reg. No. 42,656, care of the above address and direct all telephone calls to the same at (408) 414-1080.

Assignce of Interest: Oracle Corporation

Dated: 07/23/01

By: Michael a. Holblum

Name: Michael A. Gelblym

Title: Senior Patent Counsel

Address of Assignee of Interest:

Oracle Corporation

500 Oracle Parkway - M/S 5OP7

Redwood Shores, CA 94065